Inspection Note on the working of the office of the Deputy Director Zila Sanik Beard S elan conducted by the Deputy Commiss--ioner, Selan for the period 28.1.89 to 30.6.96.

28-

 Name of the officer : conducting Inspection

7

Sh. Srikant Baldi Deputy Commissioner, Selan assisted by Accounts Officer, Superintendent and Head Clark of D.C. office Selan.

2. Period of Inspection :

3. Date of Inspection /1

 Name & Designation of : the midism head of office during the period of inspection. 15:6.96 te 28.8.96

28.1.1989 te 30.6.1996

1.Capt. R.R.Verma w.e.f.28.1.1989 to 30.6.92 & 1.2.93 to 4.6.96

 Sh. Surinder Kumar Deputy Director Shimla.
 w.e.f. 1.7.92 te 3.12.92
 7.6.96 te date.

3. Capt. V.S. Man w.e.f. 3.12,92 to 31.1.93.

5. Staff Pesition

Superintendent

 Sh. Baldeep Kaj w.e.f. 3.12.90 to 3.12.94
 Sh. Nikky Ram Aganihetri w.e.f. 28.6.94 to 29.2.96
 1.3.96 to date post is lying vacant.

2.Assistant(Acctts)

1. Sh. Chatter Singh W.g.f. 28.1.89 to 17.12.91 2. Sh. Baldey Raj W.g.f. 18.12.91 to date.

3.Clerks

1, Sh. Chander Gepal Tripathi 26.2.85 to date

.2....

4.Welfare Organiser 13.Sh.Piyara Singh 28.1.89 to 17.12.91

2. Sh. Ajeet Singh 24.7.95 to date

5.Peens 1. Sh.Dina Nath 1979 to date

6. Chewkidar 1. Sh. Bhimjung 1.3.89 te 19,10.89 2. Sh.Surinder Singh 20.10.89 to 17,4.90 3. Sh. Ramesh Ghand 17.4.90 to 6.7,93

29-

4. Sh. Mehan Singh 6.7.93 te 1.3.94

5. Sh. Mehar chand 1.3.94 to date

Previously inspection of this office was conducted by the Director Sanik Welfare H.P. Hamirpur on 22.2.96 and the inspection report was despatched by him vide his lett--er No. 8 -34/84-Sanik 2065 dated 14.4.96. No compliance has so far been sent to the Director till to date, which may be sent new without any further delay.

: The fellewing registers have been maintain--ed in the effice :-

1. Attendence register:-

Attendance register has been maintained on the pres--cribed form and the attendance is being marked by the officials arrival and depar--ture is not being given by the staff. The officer Incharge is also not making his initials as a token of checking daily which may be done now for future. 2. <u>Casual Leave Registers</u>-

h s been maintgined in manscript form and test check of the entries were made with the application and attendance register which were found correct.

Casual leave account in respect of The Deputy Director should be maintained by the sanctioning Authority i.e. by the effice of the Deputy Commissioner, Solan which where as present the casual leaves which are being applied by the Dy.Director are being put up on his own file by the Dy.Director which is against rules. In future applica--tion for casual leave should be sent to ...3...

6. Previous Inspection:

1:

 Maintenance of Registers. Beputy Commissioner's Office and its account will be maintained there in future, as the casual leave of the By.Birector is being scanctioned by the Beputy Commissioner.

- 30-

3. Asquittence register.

- 3-

This register is being Mintained in manuscript form. Pay and allowences and other payments of the bills of the officials of Zila Sainik Welfare office is being disbursed through this register. Since, the Cavt. have prescribed acquittence forms, this register should new be maintained on the prescribed form and shown the of the next inspection.

4. Pay Bill register.

This register is being maintained an the prescribed form which usp found complete in all respect and upte-date.

5. Cash Book.

Cash back pertaining to the gevt. transactions is being staintained on the prescribed form. Necessary certiticate of pages has also been recorded on its face. Cash pook for the period from 3-12-92 to 38-1-93 was found unsigned which may new be get signed. Entefies of the cash beak are being initialled by the BBS new regularly.

... Poda

6. Register of Advances:-

Ais being maintained on the proferm. During the peried of Inspection, ... fellowing officers/officials have taken various advances:-

S.Ne	•. Name of officer/ officials.	Type of advance.	Date & Amount of sangtion	Balance.
	Sh. C.G.Tripathi	GPF	11.7.90 k 4000/-	fully recover- -ed.
	Sh. C.G.Tripathi	• • • • • • • • • • •	5.3.90 ks 4000/-	-de-
з.		•	26.2.90 h 5000/-	-de-
	Sh. R.R.Verma		30.5.94 B9000/-	ne recovery effected.
	ac	euse Bldg. dvance	10/90 ks66000/-	recovery is being effected regularily.
	Sh. Dina Nath	GPF	6.5.94 k 3600/-	recevery is effected in full.
7.	Sh. Baldev Kaj Verma	a	1.6.95 \$ 5800/-	-de-
8.	Sh. R.R.Verma	•	2.6.95 \$ 12000/-	No recovery effected.
	Sh. Surinder Kumar	••••	- \$ 10000/-	Recovery is being effected.
	Sh. Baldev Raj	t s de	8.3.96 \$ 6000/-	-de-
11.	Sh. C.G.Tripathi	•	5300/-	-de-

From the above is noticed that Sh.R.R.Verma has taken GPF advances on 30.5.94 > 9000/ which was refundable and again on 2.6.95, h 12,000/- which was also refundable advance, but ne recovery of the advance have been effected by the office, which require reasons to be given for not offecting the recovery and fircumstances under which effice has vielated the rules. When second advance was put up fer its sanctions, the effice has not peinted out the factual position on the file to the sanctioning authority which also require explaination of the dealing shand, which should be put up to me for further action in the matter, The D.D.O. (Sh.R.R.Verma) has misused his pewers and has fleated the Gevt. instructions/and has also violated the Govt. rules also requires disciplinary action for which office should take further action in the matter. Sh. R.R.Verma new stand transferred to Un, District, and this amount of Rs.21000/recoverable from him is to be shown in him last pay certificate which shawid is to be recovered in lump-sum.

...5...

7.Cherceal Registers-

This register is being maintan. daily consumption of charcoal is being -. -wn daily against samamentianxis each officials of the office but some where censumption is being shown an monthly basis which is against the Govt.instruction The censemption of charceal may be shown on daily basis and balances be werked out accordingly in future.

8. Stationery Register :-This register has been maintained and

entries are being made properly. 9. Livery Register:-

This register has also been maintained properly and livery articles found issued en due dates.

10.Receipt Register of Daki-

This register is found maintained on the printed and prescribed forms .From the checking of this register it has been neticed that while delivering the Dak to the dealing hands no signatures are being ebtained which should be ebtained in future in taken of receipt of dak.

11, Despatch Registeri-This register is also found maintained on the proper form. No separate service stamps consumption register is being main--tained. The stamps are being shown consum--ed against each despatched letter which is agginst the Gevt instructions. Its compliance be made and shewn next time.

12. Stork Stock Register:-

This register is not found maintained on the prescribed form, which should be maintained on the prescribed form and comp--liance be shown at the time of next inspe--ctien.

This register has been maintained and 13. Teken Registari-6-

upte date entries found made in the register.

14. Telephene Registers-

33-

This register has been maintained only upte 25.4.94 and there after no entries have been made in the register. Moreover no purpose for official calls is being mentioned only "Official work" is written which is not sufficient. In future this register may be maintained properly on the prescribed form and compliance be shown at the time of next inspection.

8. Flag Day cash Book : As per Gevt instructions from time to time and Collection & Flag Day is being gelebrated and for this maintenance of its account. purpose Flags are ment to Zila Sainik Beard through which funds are raised out of the sale proceed of these Flags. Targets are given in every year by the Directer Sainik Welfare to sple. The Flags funds after sailing the same to the General public and the funds are raised. Out of the total funds so collected, forty percent are to be sent to State level and balance sixty percent are retained by the Zila Sainik Welfare Board. These are to be kept in some Nationalized Bank and will be spent on the Welfare of the Sainik, Ex-Servicemen or their wards. Deputy Commissioner of that District is the President of that Dis--trict. The Dy.Director/Secretary of Zila Sainik Welfare Board will sanction any financial assistance to any Sainik, Ex. servi--cemen or their wards, with the prior app--reval of itw President i.e.Deputy Commiss--iener of that District. For this purpose The H.P.Gevt. (GAD) has notified financial pewers vide notifications No.& date mention--ed belows-

....7**..**...

......

67-

Netification No. 11-58/66-GAD, dated 1.
 Dy.Commissioners (President)
 Zila Sainik Board was empowered to sanction
 a financial assistance of Rs. 300/- for
 medical and on death in each case.

- 34-

- Vide netification Ne.GAD(A)(F)(4)23/77-II dated 3.1.91, these powers were enhanced and the President (Deputy Commissioners) Zila Sainik Welface beard were empowered to sanction the Firancial assistance upto & 500/- in each case and Deputy Objector of Zila Sainik Welfare was empowered upto & 100/- in each case as financial assistance with prior approval of the President.
- 3. Again vide notification No.GAD-E(C)7- /91 dated 6.4.95, President (D.C.) Zila Sainik Board was empowered to sanction the fiancial assistance upto & 1000/- and Dy.Director of Zila Sainik weifare upto & 500/-in each case.

During the inspection it has been noticed that Sh. R.R.Verma Dy.Director Zila Sainik Welfare Board has sanctioned the financial assistance at his own level for which he was not competent or empowered to do so. The total inf financial assistance sanctioned by him for which he was not competent is & 47950/- as per Annx. "A" attached to this inspection note in <u>124</u> cases out of flag Day fund. In remaining 34 cases he has further disbursed & sanctioned & 15;150/-for which he was suppose to obtain prior sanction of the President (D.C) but no such sanction has been obtained.As such Sh. Verma sanctioned & disbursed & 63,100/- in 158 cases for which he was not empowered as no prior or ex-post sanction of President (D.C) was obtained by him and has committed financial irregularity.SMMXMas This is brought in the notice of Director Sainik Welfare Board Hamirpur as well in the notice of Govt. for taking further action in the matter.

The financial assistance which has been shown paid in the following cases, verification was got conducted through the Tehsildar Kasauli and by the District WelfareOrganiser of Deputy Pirector effice presently working at Solam, and the applicants/ persons to whom the financial assistance shown paid heyrefused that t...8... either the same has not been pid or paid less as per peshewn against each:-

35

 Sh.Puspa village Sukhijehri Tehsil Kasouli B: 300/- 14.2.89 Not received do B: 500/- 9.2.96 Received only B:100/- do B: 500/- 19.2.96 Not received Sh.Nandu Village Dharkibar P.O.Dharampur B: 500/- 23.2.93 Not received Sh.Durga Dass S/O Sh.Ratnu village Sanawer B: 500/- 23.2.93 Ne person of 	S.N	•. Name of persons A	Amount of financial <u>assistance</u>	Late	Remarks
 2do & 500/- 9.2.96 Received only B100/- 3do & 500/- 19.2.96 Net received 4. Sh.Nandu Village Dharkiber P.O.Dharampur & 500/- 23.2.93 Not received 5. Sh.Durga Dass S/O Sh.Ratnu village Sanawer & 500/- 23.2.93 Net received 5. Sh.Burga Dass S/O Sh.Ratnu village Sanawer & 500/- 23.2.93 Net person of this name exist. 6. Sh.Rattan Singh S/O Sh. Balak Ram Vill.Dhakrana & 300/- 31.7.89 Received only R100/- 7 & 500/- 10.9.93 Received only R100/- 7 & 500/- 10.9.93 Received only B 300/- 8. Smt. Bala Devi W/O Sh. Padam Singh Vill.Malera & 500/- 12.5.93 Not received. In addition to above some wf the persons have reforted either net residing there of has died. The following persons have given in writting that they have not received the financial assistance or received less financial assistance as shewn paid to them 1. Sh. Jaginder Singh S/O Sh. Nath Singh Vill.Mouni & & 500/- 4.4.92 Not received less financial assistance as shewn paid to them 3. Smt.Vidyavati W/O Sh. & 500/- 20.3.96de Bhagat Singh Vill.Manlog- kalan P.O.Oachghat. & 500/- 20.3.96de 4. Sh. Kaur Singh S/O Sh. Kamkishan Vill.Kandhar Tehsil Arki & 500/- 2.5.93de 5. Sh.Daulat Ram Vill. So0/- 2.6.94de 5. Sh.Daulat Ram Vill. So0/- 2.6.94de 	1.	Sh.Puspa village Sukhije	hri		Net mendand
 3de k 500/- 19.2.96 Net received 4. Sh,Nandu Village Dharkiber P.O.Dharampur k 500/- 23.2.93 Not received 5. Sh,Durga Dass S/O Sh,Ratnu village Sanawer k 500/- 23.2.93 Ne person of this name exist. 6. Sh,Rattan Singh S/O Sh. Balak Ram Vill.Dhakrana k 300/- 31.7.89 Received only k100/- 7de k 500/- 10.9.93 Received only k 300/- 8. Smt. Bala Devi W/O Sh. Padam Singh Vill.Malera k 500/- 12.5.93 Not received. In addition to above some of the persons have reforted either net residing there of has died. The following persons have given in writting that they have not received the financial assistance or received less financial assistance as shown paid to them 1. Sh. Jeginder Singh S/O Sh. Nath Singh Vill.Manlog- kalan P.O.Oechgat. k 500/- 20.3.96de Bhagat Singh Vill.Manlog- kalan P.O.Oechgat. k 500/- 20.3.96de 4. Sh. Kaur Singh S/O Sh. Ramkishan Vill.Kandhar Tehsil Arki & 500/- 20.3.96de 5. Sh.Caurit K/O Naya Nagar Sapathu & 500/- 2.6.94de 5. Sh.Daulat Ram Vill. Sharad P.O.Deethi & 500/- 2.6.94			•	31	
 4. Sh,Nandu Village Dharkibar P.O.Dharampur B 500/- 23.2.93 Not received 5. Sh,Durga Dass S/O Sh,Ratnu village Sanawer B 500/- 31.7.89 Received only Balak Ram Vill.Dhakrana B 300/- 31.7.89 Received only R100/- 7	2.	do	Rs 500/-	9,2,96	
 P.O.Dharampur k 500/- 23.2.93 Not received Sh.Durga Dass S/O Sh.Ratnu village Sanawer k 500/- 23.2.93 Ne person of this name exist. Sh.Rattan Singh S/O Sh. Balak Ram Vill.Dhakrana k 300/- 11.7.89 Received only k100/- de k 500/- 10.9.93 Received only k 300/- Smt. Bala Devi W/O Sh. Padam Singh Vill.Malera k 500/- 12.5.93 Not received. In addition to above some wf the persons have reforted either net residing there of has died. The following persons have given in writting that they have not received the financial assistance or received less financial assistance as shown paid to thema- Smt. Jeginder Singh S/O Sh. Nath Singh Vill.Manlog- kalan P.O.Oachghat. K 500/- 20.3.96de Bas 500/- 20.3.96de Sh.Kaur Singh S/O Sh. Kaur Singh S/O Sh. Kaur Singh S/O Sh. Kaur Singh Vill.Kandhar Tehsil Arki K 500/- 20.3.96de Sh.Kaur Singh S/O Sh. Kaur Singh S/O Sh. Sh. Kaur Singh S/O Sh. Sh. Kaur Singh S/O Sh. Ka				19.2.96	Not received
 village Sanawer k 500/- 23.2.93 Ne person of this name exist. 6. Sh.Rattan Singh S/O Sh. Balak Ram Vill.Dhakrana k 300/- 31.7.89 Received only R100/- 7de k 500/- 10.9.93 Received only k 300/- 8. Smt. Bala Devi W/O Sh. Padam Singh Vill.Malera k 500/- 12.5.93 Not received. In addition to above some wf the persons have reforted either net residing there of has died. The following persons have given in writting that they have not received the financial assistance or received less financial assistance as shown paid to thema- 1. Sh. Jeginder Singh S/O Sh. Nath Singh Vill.Nouni & b 500/- 21.3.96de 2. Smt.Vidyavati W/O Sh. k 500/- 20.3.96de 3. Sh.Kaur Singh S/O Sh. hamkishan Vill.Kandhar Tehsil Arki k 500/- 20.3.96de 4. Sh. Amrit K/O Naya Nagar Sapathu k 500/- 2.6.94de 5. Sh.Daulat Ram Vill. Sharad P.O.Deethi k 500/- 2.6.94	4.	Sh.Nandu Village Dharkib P.O.Dharampur	ar Rs 500/-	23.2.93	Not received
 village Sanawer k 500/- 23.2.93 Ne person of this name exist. 6. Sh.Rattan Singh S/O Sh. Balak Ram Vill.Dhakrana k 300/- 31.7.89 Received only R100/- 7de k 500/- 10.9.93 Received only k 300/- 8. Smt. Bala Devi W/O Sh. Padam Singh Vill.Malera k 500/- 12.5.93 Not received. In addition to above some wf the persons have reforted either net residing there of has died. The following persons have given in writting that they have not received the financial assistance or received less financial assistance as shown paid to thema- 1. Sh. Jeginder Singh S/O Sh. Nath Singh Vill.Nouni & b 500/- 21.3.96de 2. Smt.Vidyavati W/O Sh. k 500/- 20.3.96de 3. Sh.Kaur Singh S/O Sh. hamkishan Vill.Kandhar Tehsil Arki k 500/- 20.3.96de 4. Sh. Amrit K/O Naya Nagar Sapathu k 500/- 2.6.94de 5. Sh.Daulat Ram Vill. Sharad P.O.Deethi k 500/- 2.6.94	5.	Sh.Durga Dass S/O Sh.Rat	nu	2.2	
Balak Rem Vill,Dhakrana & 300/-\$1.7.89Received only k100/-7de& 500/-10.9.93Received only k300/-8.Smt. Bala Devi W/O Sh. Padam Singh Vill.Malera & 500/-12.5.93Not received.In addition to above some of the persons havereforted either net residing there of has died. The following persons have given in writting that they have not received the financial assistance or received less financial assistance as shown paid to thema-1.Sh. Jeginder Singh S/O Sh. Nath Singh Vill,NouriNot S00/-21.3.962.Smt.Vidyavati W/O Sh. Bhagat Singh Vill.Manlog- kalan P.O.Oachghat.Not 500/-20.3.963.Sh.Kaur Singh S/O Sh. Famkishan Vill.Kandhar Tehsil ArkiNot 500/-12.5.93de4.Sh. Amrit K/O Naya Nagar SapathuNot 500/-12.5.93de5.Sh.Danlat Ram Vill. Sharad P.O.DeethiNot 500/-2.6.94de		village Sanaw er	Rs 500/-	23.2.93	Ne person of this name exist.
 7de k 500/- i0.9.93 Received only k 300/- 8. Smt. Bala Devi W/O Sh. Padam Singh Vill.Malera & 500/- i2.5.93 Not received. In addition to above some af the persons have reforted either not residing there of has died. The following persons have given in writting that they have not received the financial assistance or received less financial assistance as shown paid to themi- 1. Sh. Jeginder Singh S/O Sh. Nath Singh Vill.Nouni & k 500/- 4.4.92 Not received 2. Smt.Vidyavati W/O Sh. k 500/- 4.4.92 Not received less Bhagat Singh Vill.Manlog- kalan P.O.Oachghat. k 500/- 20.3.96de 3. Sh.Kaur Singh S/O Sh. K 500/- 20.3.96de 4. Sh. Amrit K/O Naya Nagar Sapathu & 500/- 2.6.94de 5. Sh.Daulat Ram Vill. So0/- 2.6.94de 	6.	Sh.Rattan Singh S/O Sh.			
 8. Smt. Bala Devi W/O Sh. Padam Singh Vill.Malera & 500/- 12.5.93 Not received. In addition to above some of the persons have reforted either not residing there of has died. The following persons have given in writting that they have not received the financial assistance or received less financial assistance as shown paid to themi- 1. Sh. Jeginder Singh S/O Sh. Nath Singh Vill.Nouni & & 500/- Bhagat Singh Vill.Nouni & & 500/- Bhagat Singh Vill.Manlog- kalan P.O.Oachghat. & 500/- Sh.Kaur Singh S/O Sh. Hamkishan Vill.Kandhar Tehsil Arki & Sh. Amrit K/O Naya Nagar Sapathu Sh. Danlat Kam Vill. Sharad P.O.Deethi Bagat P.O.Deethi Bagat Singh Vill. Sharad P.O.Deethi Bagat P.O.Deethi		Balak Ram Vill,Dhakrana	Rs 300/-	\$1.7.89	
 8. Smt. Bala Devi W/O Sh. Padam Singh Vill.Malera & 500/- 12.5.93 Not received. In addition to above some of the persons have reported either not residing there of has died. The following persons have given in writting that they have not received the financial assistance or received less financial assistance as shown paid to them 1. Sh. Jeginder Singh S/O Sh. Nath Singh Vill.Nouni & & 500/- 4.4.92 Not received 2. Smt.Vidyavati W/O Sh. & 500/- 21.3.96de 3. Sh.Kaur Singh S/O Sh. Hamkishan Vill.Manlog- kalan P.O.Oachghat. & 500/- 20.3.96de 4. Sh. Amrit K/O Naya Nagar Sapathu & 500/- 2.6.94de 5. Sh.Danlat Ham Vill. Sharad P.O.Deethi & 500/- 2.6.94	7.	do	£ 500/-	10.9.93	Received only & 300/-
In addition to above some of the persons have reforted either not residing there of has died. The following persons have given in writting that they have not received the financial assistance or received less financial assistance as shown paid to themi- 1. Sh. Jeginder Singh S/O Sh. Nath Singh Vill,Nouni & B 500/- 2. Smt.Vidyavati W/O Sh. Bhagat Singh Vill.Manlog- kalan P.O.Oachghat. B 500/- 2. Sh.Kaur Singh S/O Sh. Famkishan Vill.Kandhar Tehsil Arki 4. Sh. Amrit R/O Naya Negar Sapathu 5. Sh.Danlat Ram Vill. Sharad P.O.Deethi B 500/- 2.6.94 	8.	Smt. Bala Devi W/O Sh.			
reforted either not residing there of has died. The following persons have given in writting that they have not received the financial assistance or received less financial assistance as shown paid to them 1. Sh. Jeginder Singh S/O Sh. Nath Singh Vill.Nouni & B 500/- 2. Smt.Vidyavati W/O Sh. Bhagat Singh Vill.Manlog- kalan P.O.Oachghat. B 500/- 20.3.96de 3. Sh.Kaur Singh S/O Sh. Ramkishan Vill.Kandhar Tehsil Arki 8: 500/- 12.5.93 4. Sh. Amrit K/O Naya Nagar Sapathu 5. Sh.Danlat Ram Vill. Sharad P.O.Deethi B: 500/- 2.6.94		Padam Singh Vill.Malera	Rs 500/-	12.5.93	Not received.
reforted either not residing there of has died. The following persons have given in writting that they have not received the financial assistance or received less financial assistance as shown paid to them 1. Sh. Jeginder Singh S/O Sh. Nath Singh Vill.Nouni & B 500/- 2. Smt.Vidyavati W/O Sh. Bhagat Singh Vill.Manlog- kalan P.O.Oachghat. B 500/- 20.3.96de 3. Sh.Kaur Singh S/O Sh. Ramkishan Vill.Kandhar Tehsil Arki 8: 500/- 12.5.93 4. Sh. Amrit K/O Naya Nagar Sapathu 5. Sh.Danlat Ram Vill. Sharad P.O.Deethi B: 500/- 2.6.94		In addition	to above some	wf the p	ersons have
The following persons have given in writting that they have not received the financial assistance or received less financial assistance as shown paid to them. 1. Sh. Jeginder Singh S/O Sh. Nath Singh Vill.Nouni & B 500/- 4.4.92 Not received 2. Smt.Vidyavati W/O Sh. B 500/- 21.3.96de Bhagat Singh Vill.Manlog- kalan P.O.Oachghat. B 500/- 20.3.96de 3. Sh.Kaur Singh S/O Sh. Hamkishan Vill.Kandhar Tehsil Arki B 500/- 12.5.93de 4. Sh. Amrit K/O Naya Nagar Sapathu B 500/- 2.6.94de 5. Sh.Danlat Ram Vill. Sharad P.O.Deethi B 500/- 2.6.94	ref				× .
 they have not received the financial assistance or received less financial assistance as shown paid to thems- 1. Sh. Jeginder Singh S/O Sh. Nath Singh Vill.Nouni & R 500/- 4.4.92 Not received 2. Smt.Vidyavati W/O Sh. R 500/- 21.3.96				~~	in writting that
 financial assistance as shown paid to themi- 1. Sh. Jeginder Singh S/O Sh. Nath Singh Vill.Nouni K 500/- 2. Smt.Vidyavati W/O Sh. Bhagat Singh Vill.Manlog- kalan P.O.Oachghat. 2. Sh.Kaur Singh S/O Sh. Hamkishan Vill.Kandhar Tehsil Arki 2. Sh. Amrit K/O Naya Negar Sapathu 2. Sh.Danlat Ram Vill. Sharad P.O.Deethi 2. Sh.O. 2.6.94 2. Sh.Panlat Ram Vill. 	the			•	-
 Sh. Jeginder Singh S/O Sh. Nath Singh Vill.Nouni K 500/- 2. Smt.Vidyavati W/O Sh. Bhagat Singh Vill.Manlog- kalan P.O.Oachghat. k 500/- 20.3.96de Sh.Kaur Singh S/O Sh. Hamkishan Vill.Kandhar Tehsil Arki k 500/- 2.5.93de Sh. Amrit K/O Naya Nagar Sapathu k 500/- 2.6.94de Sh.Danlat Kam Vill. Sharad P.O.Deethi k 500/- 2.6.94 					received less
 Nath Singh Vill.Nouni R 500/- Smt.Vidyavati W/O Sh. R 500/- Bhagat Singh Vill.Manlog- kalan P.O.Oachghat. R 500/- 20.3.96de Sh.Kaur Singh S/O Sh. Hamkishan Vill.Kandhar Tehsil Arki R 500/- Sh. Amrit R/O Naya Nagar Sapathu R 500/- Sh.Danlat Ram Vill. Sharad P.O.Deethi R 500/- 2.6.94de 	-			swė –	
 Smt.Vidyavati W/O Sh. Rs 500/- 21.3.96de Bhagat Singh Vill.Manlog- kalan P.O.Oachghat. Rs 500/- 20.3.96de Sh.Kaur Singh S/O Sh. Hamkishan Vill.Kandhar Tehsil Arki Rs 500/- 12.5.93de Sh. Amrit R/O Naya Nagar Sapathu Rs 500/- 2.6.94de Sh.Danlat Ram Vill. Sharad P.O.Deethi Rs 500/- 2.6.94de 	1.	Nath Singh Vill, Nouni	Rs 500/-	4.4.92	Not received
 kalan P.O.Oachghat. k 500/- 20.3.96de Sh.Kaur Singh S/O Sh. Hamkishan Vill.Kandhar Tehsil Arki k 500/- 12.5.93de Sh. Amrit K/O Naya Nagar Sapathu k 500/- 2.6.94de Sh.Daulat Nam Vill. Sharad P.O.Deethi k 500/- 2.6.94de 	2,	Bhagat Singh Vill.Manlog	•	21.3.96	
Ramkishan Vill.Kandhar Tehsil Arki k 500/- 12.5.93de 4. Sh. Amrit K/O Naya Nagar Sapathu k 500/- 2.6.94de 5. Sh.Daulat Ram Vill. Sharad P.O.Deethi k 500/- 2.6.94de		kalan P.O.Öachghat.	Rs 500/-	20.3.96	de
 4. Sh. Amrit K/O Naya Nagar Sapathu & 500/- 2.6.94de 5. Sh.Daulat Ram Vill. Sharad P.O.Deethi & 500/- 2.6.94da 	3.	Ramkishan Vill.Kandhar	N- 500/	10 5 00	
Sapathu Rs 500/- 2.6.94d0 5. Sh.Daulat Ram Vill. Sharad P.O.Deethi Rs 500/- 2.6.94d0			100 C	12.5.93	d o
Sharad P.O.Deethi & 500/- 2.6.94da		Sapathu	Rs 500/-	2.6.94	d@
	5.		Rs 500/-	2.6.94	da
		Similarily 1	•		

of this District for verifications which have not yet been receiver and are expected similar position there too. As such this amount has been embezzeled by the Dy.Director and needs disciplinary acti -n at the earliest to be taken by the computent authority.

-8-

- 9 -The following 15 persons have been shown whe paid the financial assistance by Sh.R.R.Verma Deputy Director, Sainik Welfare out of flag day fund on the dates shown against each Sator) without obtaining any applications and without getting the codal formalities completed. The amount@cd as per remarks" Paid by me" by the Deputy Director seems to be embezzeled since no applications are on record. The detail is as under :-List of Financial Assistance paid amount from Flag Day Amount paid. S.No. Date & Particulars. 500/-1. Ex Sep Bhagwan Dass V-Sitalpur PO. Baddi 11.5.94. 500/-2. -- do-- Rattan Chand V-Kathre PO. Vaisal 12.5.94 Smt.Amarjit Kaur W/O Nk-Balwant V-Billa-vali-Majhol1500/-4.Ex-Sep Sender Singh V-Snech Sathli PO.Kigrighat 500/-(27.5.94) 5.Ex Sep Durga Ram VISanawar PO.Lawrence School Sanawar (27.5.94) 500/-6.Smt.Ram Maseni V-Sethalpur PO.Baddi 500/-W/O Bhagwan Dass(2.6.94) 7.Ex Sep Sunder Singh V Chhanla PO Calang (2.6.94) 500/-8.Ex-Sep Amrit, Naya Nagar Subathu. (2.6.94) 500/-9.Ex Sep Daultat Ram V-Sarad PO Deothi. (2,6.94) 500/-10.Ex Sep Covardhan Lal, V-Rajpura POlRajpur(2.6.94) 500/-11.Ex Sep Jit SinghV-Theada PO.Manpur(15.6.95) 500/-12.Ex Sep Aun Lachman Singh V-Ria PO Joghon 15.6.94 500/-13.Ex Sep Ram Dass V-Khol Beli PO Manpura (15.6.94) 500/-14.Ex Sep Pritam Singh V-Dhang Nichali PO, Plasi(15.6.94)500/-15.Smt.Koron Devi V-Deoghat PO.Saproon 15.6.94 500/-7500/-Rs.

126-

The year wise Flag Day Fund position is as under as per cash book:-

.....

Opening balance as on 28.1.1989.

			-18-	-3	7-	
ear	Flags received.	Flags sold.	Sale preceeds received.	Flags in balance.	Target fixed.	Amount remitted
88	30000	25082	17519/-	4018	11000/-	5108/-
989	30000	21596	+16638/-	8484	-	5188/-
90	30808	30880	27684/-	-	-	5100/-
9 8	30000	21366	17819/-	8634	-	51980/-
92	30000	2185	19141/-	815	-	-
93	30000	22296	20995/-	7784	•	-
94	30	25966	21989/-	4034	•	-
95	30888	-	17862/-	•	-	
	Teta		159479/-			
	Amount reci		15771/			

22

en 14-6-96. G.Tetal: 175250/-

The cash beek which has been magintained by the effice has neither been maintained an gevt.cash-beek nor an commercial. The effice either should maintain it on gavt. cash beek or an commercial pattern from where clear picture of the cash can be ascertained. For taking leans from flag-day fund, only Direction Sainik Welfare is competent authority and it has been observed during the period of inspection that as and when the Deputy Director Sainik Welfare needs maney for expenditure he has shown lean taken

from flag-day fund to enother funds or appoints. The detail is as under:-

Year.	Bate Cash in hand	Depealted in the SB Account.
1989 -38	22-8-09 - 29-8-89 3000/- 1-9-89 900/- 6-9-89 1000/- 11-9-89 5000/- 12-9-96 1000/-	12088/~
	18-9-89 7808/- 18-9-89 1088/- 22-9-89 2008/- 26-9-89 5088/- 22-9-89 1000/- 29989 3961/- 3-18-89 3088/- -de- 1808/-	• • • •
	5-10-09 2000/- 9-10-89 4000/- 19-10-89 1000/- 21-10-89 1000/- 23-10-89 1000/- 23-10-89 250/-	



280

itg.

		- 50	
	-11-		
	21-10-89-	850/-	
	23-10-890	200/-	
	26-10-89	4008/-	
	27-18-89	3000/-	
	3-11-89	1400/-	
	6-11-89	111/-	
	10-11-89	2800/-	
	5-12-89	19080/~	
	9-12-89	4998/-	
	13-12-89	1210/-	
	15-12-09	1218/-	
	16-12-89	4088/-	
	20-12-89	2008/-	
		1127/-	
	26-12-89	5000/-	
	27-12-89	4808/Rs	
	28-12-89	2588/-	
	29-12-89	5000/-	
	30-12-89	5000/-	
	1-1-98	1208/-	
	12-1-90	1000/-	
	17-1-90	3000/-	
	23-1-90	2988/-	
	20-2-90	2888X# 1588/-	
	24-2-98	3000/-	
	6-3-98	2888/∞	
	12-3-90	1298/-	
	Tetals	119775/- 12088/-	17177-/
			131775/-
1998-91	24-5-98	4899/-	
	13-7-98	1000/-	
	-		
	Tetal:		5000/-
Birect pa	ayment to	50 (S. 	
centracte	r Sh. Fiara		
Singh en	15-12-94:-	1809/~	
	19-12-94	1808/-	
	Tetal:	28007-	2808/
			2009/-

G-Tetal: 138775/-

From the above, it appears that the Deputy Director Zila Sainik Ward made this fund as an permanent impresent and uhen-over he needs ony amount, he shoun lean taken from this fund which cannot be taken without the approval of the Director. As such, the Deputy Director has rather misused this fund and needs explainantion for re-appropriating/doing so dwithout the approval of the competent authority. Moreover, if any expenditure is incudured by the Sy.Director Sile Sainik Welfare, he is suppose to obtain the approval of the Deard or Committee of Zile Sainik Welfare constituted at Distt.-level which has not been taken.

-12.

.. #13.

10-2-SE to Astagha I have

34

-12-10. REPAIR OF REST HOUSE OF ZILA SAINIK WELFARE SOLAN.

Buring the year, 1983. Director Bainik Welfere, HP advanced an amount of Ro.40.000/- out of his flag-day fund to carryout immediate repair of Sainik Rest House Bolan. This amount of Ro.40000/- was on loan basis out of flag-day fund and was to be refunded back from Rest House funds to flag-day fund Account. Again vide Director's letter No.12-12/84-SWB dated 11th July, 1989, he sanctioned Ro.65220/- for special repair of Sainik Rest House and Ro. 20070/- for wiring of Rest House. Further saction of Ro. 12000/- was also accorded out of flagwday fund ,if the amove sanctioned amount was not fully mot from the Rest House fund. The Deputy Director Cept.R.R. Verma was directed to carry out the repair through P.W.D.under his supervision and a completion Certificate from HP PWD will be sent to Director Sainik Welfere Hamirpur, vide his letter No.7-29-Solan/66-SWD, in continuation of his previous letter No.12-12/84-SWD, dated 13-7-89.

When the Director Sainik Welfare naticed that the Deputy Director Zila Sainik Welfare Dolan is not complying with his orders and instructions, he ordered a Board of Enquiry to check up the modalities, payment made, cash transferred from other Heads, pre-mature encashment of FDRs, why repair was carried out otherthan the PWD and why the matimates could not be made through PWD in connection with the repair and wiring of Sainik Rest House Solan by Capt.R.R.Vorma Dy.Director Sainik Welfare Solan, vide Director's letter No.7-29-Solan/86-SWD dated 16th May, 1998. The said Board met at Solan for this purpose on 25th to 27th May, 1998 and submitted the repart as under to

- i. The amount of Rs.40000/~ senctioned by the Director Sainik Walfare for carrying out repair of Sainik Rest House Selan out of flag-day fund was not repaid, as it was sangtianed as lean.
- ii. Further amount of Rs.65220/~ was sanctioned to carrying out a special repair and Rs.20878/~ for the wiring of the Rest House. Rs.12008/~ was further sanctioned as lean from flag-day fund.if the expanditure for carrying out above repair are & insufficient.
- iii. The estimate were prepared from the PWB but work was not got executed by PWB.
 - iv. That the work of special repair, alteration, medification, wiring atc.under his control through an called contractor but the date of execution of works could not be ascertained for want of record.
 - V. That By.Director Sh.R.R.Verma Paid advances to so called contractor on plain paper amounting to ...P13.

-13to Re. 2,13,516/- out of which Rs.1,25,788/-is out of flag-day fund.

40-

- Sh.R.R. Verma encashed a FBR amounting to Rs. 1, 15, 826.58 vi. out of flag-day fund and Rs. 1 47,846.71 out of Rest Haune Fund.
- vii. That the total amount advanced did not tally with the tetal advance taken by the se galled contractor because no proper receipts warg available.
- viii. FBRs have been encahsed beforg maturity valuedate.
 - In addition to Sainik Rest House repairs, there were i¤. ether surchases also, the stock of these items have net been taken on charge and the bill/receipts were net available.
 - Same of the amount taken from the flag-day fund for ×. the repair of Sainik Rost House has been paid directly and it has not been reflacted in Sainik Rest House account.
- ×i. That the tentative jebs done are 25.
- ×ii. After stapping the payments, the efficer has advanced an amount of Rs. 16200/- to as galled contractor.
 - In his finding the Seard has submitted his report as under:-
 - a) The efficial should have executor the repair work through PUB as per the estimate of the PUB where he availed the
- The efficial has given the execution of the jobs to ь) the sac called centracter without calling tender and without the estimates. Even permanent address of the se called Centracter has not been obtained.
- He executed the limits without the prior sanction c) of compatent authorities.
- The advance has been peid on plain papers to contractor ٤) without referring the advance against any item which is irregular.
- The official has not kept any report of the material •) received, isso used and from which agency procured, Bill
- 4) The official has not prepared the estimates of jobs te be executed befare executions,
- The efficial has advanced the amount to the so called **D**) centracter in haphazard manner.
- h) The efficial has advanced the amount to the s-a falled
- contractor even after stepping him not to make any pay-1) .i)
- ment or to execute the further job. No prior estimates were mana for jobs to be executed. No prior sonction has been mana from the Centralling Officer(deputy Commissioner, Salan)/Director Sainik k)
 - In the absence of the supporting decuments, the beard of afficers could not varify the amount advanced for executing the repair of the sainik resthouse.....P-14

-14-

In addition to above, the Board pointed out the commission of fellowing irregularities by the official while executing repairs renevations, electrification of Sainik Reat House Selan.

- 41-

- i) Exceeded the limit of sanctioned amount for repair of Sainik Rest House.
- ii) Irregular execution of repair Wark through Sh. Gepal Base Thakur c/o Hendseme Tailer SelangHP.
- iii) FBRs withdrawn without the knowledge of Deputy Commissions. Selan.
- Irregular transaction made from flag-day fund to Seinik Rest House fund and even direct to Sh.Gopal Bess Thakur. 1v)
 - Repairs fransvation estimates not prepared as per actual v) execution of work.
- Irregular payments made from flag day fund to meet vi) the telephone calls expenditures

From the facts stated above, the Sonth has formed its

epinion that(a) the jobs done should be varified from the technical autherity to accertain the validity of joins done and amount spent. (b) the accounts should be sudited through Local Fund Account Agency of Gevt. of HP. From the finding of the Board, Birector Sainik Welfare agreed with the recommendation of the Board and erdered special audit by Local Audit Bepts.

En the abave, one Section Officer Sh. Vined Gupta from Local Audit Deptt. underteak the audit w.s.f 1-d-91 and made his ebservatiens as under:-

In para 4(a) Irrecular payment of Advance to ShiGepal Dass Thekur, Rs. 2,33,316/~. Under rule, there is no prevision te make any advance payments to contractor. In para 5 of the audit r repart, the payment of Rs. 1.36.775/- out of flag-day fund as shawn leans without the prior sanction of the competent authority. In para 6 of the said report, he has pointed out the payment of Re. 2.87.431/- i.e. Re. 2.33.316/- for the sumstauxtimexef repair efseinik Fest Heves and Rs. 38,498/ ∞ due to be paid to contractor : ad Rs. 23,715/- spent on furniture, bed-sheets and electricity items (Tetal Rs. 2,87,431/-) and ne prior approval of the competent

autherity was abtained.

In addition to above, the sudit report in para 34 points aut the following irregularities:m

That the expenditure in Carrying syt the works for repair of Sainik Reat House which includes electricity wiring, walls, aaptic tanks etc. were spent Rs. 2.63.716/- out of which 10

···. P-15

different works ware executed by Sh. Gapal Sass Thakur. For the executions of these works, Rs. 2.33.316/- already stand paid and balance of Rs. 30.400/- is due to be paid to contractor which should not be paid till the objections raiged are not replied which are as under:-

-15-

- Before starting the work, no technical and administrative approval of the compatent authority had been obtained.
- Against the rule, the payment to contract was made well in advance.
- 3. The measurement book which is an important document has not been filled in as per rule which has following short-comingage
 - Massurements have not been entered in MB but has been made apparately an separate paper.
 - ii. It should have been entered and written by the Tagineser & in his pun hand-wiking.

12-

iii. Typed enteries have no signatures of any Engineer, which may prove that these enteries have been made by him though paid bills have been verified by Sh.G.K.Aggarwal, the then Asstt.Engineer(Dev) which proves that these enteries have also been made by him.

The audit-repart is in detail which was despatched to the By.Birecter Zila Sainik Welfare by the Jaint Brector Lecal Audit deptt.HP vide No.Fin(LA)M(2)C(15)14(32)/76-II. dated 12-11-91.

dated 12-11-91. On receipt of this audit report and report from the Director Sainik Welfare HP, the undersigned asked the then Asatt. Engineer (Dev) Solan on 4-4-95 vide confidential letter PS/95 to collect the relevant records and to mekerelevant enquiries/assessment of the work done in which expenditure of Rs. 2,94,816/- is said to be incurred. The Asatt. Eng(Sev) Solan submitted his report as underso

The works of special repairs and addition/alteration have been carried out by the By.Wirecter Zila Seinik Welfare Selan in Sainik Rest House Selan were inspected in the presence of By.Wirecter and it was stated by him that technically he is not in a position to explain the details of each and every items cof work carried out at site and as such in the obsence of same. it was not pay feasible to carry out technical examination of the same.Houser, from the scrutiny of documents(phote-cepies attached) supplied by him, it reveals the fellowing short-comings:-

P....16

-16-

i. Rates allowed in respect of stane mesonry work for the rewining walls paid @ Re. 417/- and 191.78 per cubic meter while the same chould have been 318-38 and 124.80 per cubic meter and thus excess payment of Rs.15169/based on HP SR 1987 has been mide.

-43-

- ii. The rates quoted by the lowest contractor is above schedule rates whereas payments were made as unders-
 - A. Amount of schedule rates "A" 2. Cest index allowed in "B" satimates. Total:- A Plus B
 - 3. Centractura' premium as per tender/quetatigns " C" en A plus B.

Grand Totals, At A plus & plus C

As amatter of fact the contractors prais premium should have been allowed on A resiluting into oucess payment of the order of Rs. 42435/-only.

iii. Tender notice issued on 38-4-89 bears the despatch No.5. whereas same issued on 16-7-89, 16-8-89, 28-8-89 and 27-8-89 bears Despatch No.1,2,3 and 4 respectively and thus it can be infered that same were not issued prior to calling of tenders.

The date of receipt of tender is 13-8-89 whereas nutice was issued on 16-8-89 and similarly is the case of other tender nutices. He has further stated that only one comparative stamment has been preferred for the tenders received on the face of the fact that some were referred and spend on different dates and it can be construed that recerds were & fabricated subsequently. He further abserved that anxtexeks there is no suthentication of quetation/tender as to who opened the same and hav many were received.

It is very interesting to point out that Sh.R.R.Verma By.Birector Zila Sainik Welfare Selen. Maintained a forged despatch register on 30-4-89 simply to regularise his fakeworks of issue of tender notices to contractors and other effices which only contain despatches of only tenders on 38-4-89 and 16/89 with the same numbers on both the dates i.e from Sr. Ne.1 to 18 only, whereas despatch number in regular effice despatch register in July, 1989 was 663 to 693. This is also surprising that there is no change in measurement ...P..17

and shown actually done and is the same as per estimates so prepared. There is also no change in the amount so shown in the

-44-

tender natices as issued and the final bills passed for payments which sizesay always differ. So it is proved fact that these securents have been fabricated after the execution and incurring the expenditure when pointed by the Beard of Inquiry. As such this require detailed enquiry to be get denducted from Vigilance Septt about the embeziglement by Sh.R.R.Verma.

After examining and going through the above mentioned rerecords and facts, it is proved that \$h.R.R.Verma, Dy.Birecter Zila Sainik Welfare Affice, Salan, has committed forgery in fabric fabricating the false tenders, awarding of work to contracter measurement book and bills atc. in connection with the repair and alteration, wiring in the Sainik Rest House Selan.Under rule, when Sh.R.R.Verma, By. Director Zila Sainik Welfare Efficer has to execute the repair/alteration or special repairs and wiring atc. of Sainik Rest House Selan, he was supposed to take the failewing steps?-

- He was supposed to ask the frecutive Engineer(B&R) HP MUS Selan to prepare the satimates of proposed repair work of Sainik Rest House.
- ii. When the estimates are prepared by the PWB, he should have obtained the administrative sanction from his administrative Buggt.
- iii. In receipt of the same, he should have got the funds allotted to carry out the wark through PWB against Deposit-work.

It was then the duty of P.W.D to invite tenders and to complete ather formalities and to execute the work. Sh.Verma, By.Director was supposed to supervise the work whether the same was being executed properly or not. When the work is completed and funds given to B.W.D. are fully utilized he had to obtain the necessary certificate from the said Weptt. whereas By. Director acted otherwise and being non-technical person.

The Director Sainik Welfare, H. P. vide his letter Na.12-124/84-SWD dated 13-7-89, accorded permission to get the Sainik Rest Hause repaired and aanutiened a sum of Ro. 65222/- far special repair and Ro. 20070/- for wiring. The expenditure was allowed to be met jut of Rost House funds. ...P-18

-17-

However, he was allowed to take Rs.12000/- out of flag-day fund as lean in case funds already given are found insufficingt. The Deputy Director Sh.R.P.Verma, get this work executed through a centractor namely Sh.Gepal Bass Thekur without completing any formalitius as already disscussed abays. However, Sh. Verma has alse fubricated the recerd to get his irregularities regularised after-thought or when these irregularities were already pointed out by a Weard of Officers appointed by the Director Sainik Welf Welfare and in the audit resert of Lagal Audit Septts already discussed abave. In fabricating the same record after the execution of work, and has committed an many irregularities i.e. getting the estimate prepared on lateg-stage, inviting of tender on different dates in which date of epening of tenders were found prior to the date of issue of tender notices. The copies of tenders to whom they were issued age in office record which preves that no tender notices were issued. Merever, forged despatch number in the Despatch register on the sate of despatch of these tenders i.e. 30-4-59, 20-12-69 are 1 to 7 whereas the regular despatch numbers in the register whe between 5-7-89 to 19-7-89 were 666 to 693. Hence all this record maintained to get the expenditure regularised is forged r recard.

-45-

The comparative statement propaged by the Sy.Director of the tenders invited an different dates has been pappared on one single paper which, proves the ficticious construction of this record after actual execution of the work. This needs detailed investingation by the Vigilance Deptt. immediately and desciplinary action against the Sy. Sirector Sh.R.R.Verma. The year-wise expenditure incurred by Sh.Verma for the first repair of Zile Sainik Reat House which was advanced to the contractor is so under:-

1985-98. 2.13.316/- 2.33.316/-1995-91. 25.050/- 2.33.316/-The bills which have been passed by the By. Sirecter Zile Sainik Welfere is as under:-

····P-19

-186

- 46-

-19-

ï

Sr.Ns.	Pate	Pais Purt Veucher Neo	iculars of P _R	yment.	Ameunt paid.
1.	4-5-91	94 Repair	of staff quar	ters.	11841.85
2.			uction of rete		36973.88
3.	- 10-		all Ns.3		30548.88
4.			all No.2		6318.88
5.			all Na.1		11128.88
6.			ef Rest-heusg		46455.88
7.		tank.	uction of wata	r storage	3584.88
8.	- de -		ing work.		33282.88
9.	- a =	162 Repair	of rest-heus	•	71383.88
10.		163 Cenetr	uction of eap	6	18398.38
				Tetal:	263716.88
			Salance:	cady paid.	233316.00
	Faid frag	n Flog-day fun	κ. δ. 1.3	this	ferred frem fund te Rest
	Paid fre	m fleat House f	und Pa 1 3		fundo
			'und. Re. 1,2	, 241. Pa	
			TetalsRs. 2.6	,716.DE	
	The abov	e bills passes	and paid vid	Veuchers No	.94 tm 183
aby	the Deputy	Director for	amounting to p	u 2 67 716	
ubic	b advance			10. 2,03,/10.	ww against
	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	arready bars	s Re. 2,33,31	and balance	ameunt
Paya	ble to can	truct is Rs. :	38,438/		
	The bill	a of first re	mair were posa	d and seid o	n 4-5-91
eng	the geputy	Director aga	in started to	aaka advanca	Pay ments
			tails hereunde	te the Cent	racters:-
5.N		Paid Vr. No.	Amount gaid.	Name of cont	Facter.
1.	8-8-91	129	1888/-	Sh.Rula Ram	Cantan
2.	13-8-91	130	1008/-	-de-	contracter.
3.	17-3-91 21-10-9	138	1868/-	-do-	24
5.	22-10-9	1 151 1 151	6#2/-	-de-	
6.	29-19-9	1 154	588/-	- da -	8 - No
7.	22-11-9	1 168	3690/- 2200/-	- #8-	
θ.	13-12-9	1 171	1888/-	- do-	Λ.
9.	14-12-9	1 192	2848/-		
18.		193	1008/-	-de-	·)
11。 12。		13	5098/-	- da=	t_{25}
13.			8800/-		× .
14.			6288/-	- d a -	
15	21-1-9	71 "-T-A	288.8/-		igh contractor
16.	• 21-1-9	3. 77	508/-	- 18 -	1
17 18	• 14-2-9	S AB	2848/-		
,0	21-2-9	81	1000/-	-da-	
		*	10047-	19 P-	-20

-28-

Sr, Na	Date	Paid yr. Na.	Amount paid.	Name of contractor.
15.	9-3-95	94	2888/-	Sh Bione Bie
28.	14-3-95	95	4580/-	Sh. Piara Singh centraster.
21.	16-3-95	96	2040/-	
22.	27.3.95	97	4588/-	
23.	29-3-95	98	3486/-	
24.	31-3-95	165	3500/-	2 da-
25.	26-4-36	13	3500/-	- dB-
26.	6-5-35	14A	1888/-	20-
27.	28-6-95	23	2222/-	P10-
28.	22-8-55	59	2898/-	
29.	31-8-95	45	1589/-	
38.	38-3-95	41	1889/-	2 d 0 -
31.	32-12-95	45	4888/-	
32.	7-1-92	5	4200/-	Sh. Jonu Ram centracter.
33.	30-6-92	21	5188/-	#ds-

The year-wise break-up of advance paid to the contractor is as under:-

1991-92 Rs. 13,700/- to Sh.Tula Ran centracter. 1992-93 Rs. 9,200/~ to Sh.Janu Ran centracter. 1993-94 Rs. 78,000/- to Sh.Tulo Ran centracter Fs.23260/~ plus Ro. 50000/-to Sh.Paire Singh.

Tetal: Rs, 52, BEG. 80

Against the above stated second repair of Sainik Rest-house. an advance of Rs.32.000/w has been shown paid to three contractors seems to be embezzled by the By.Director Sh.R.H. Verma as there is no record pertaining to these repairs of Sainik Rest house viz. estimates, tenders, if any called, award of work to contractors, vouchers or bills pertaining to this second repair and measurement of the work .if any, get executed by him through these on tractors. Immediate steps be taken to get this advange recovered from these contractors, if the advances actually stends paid by the By.Sirector and a detailed enquiry from Vigilance needs to be made immediately.

I conducted the inspection of this Spinik Rest House personally on 28-8-96 alongwith the present Ny. Wirector of Zila Sainik Wolfsre Salan and noticed that the condiction of the Spinik Rest House is inva very depletable inspite of the above huge amount stated to have been spont on its repair by the then Ny. Sirector Sh.R.R. Verme. There is leakage of water from upper floop, no gutter pipes are fixed in it. The hygenic condition of the Meat-house is also not sound due to which the visiters cannot live or stay in the Resthouse and thic Rest-House again require immediate repairs. The Ny. Wirector Sainik Wolfare should gst propared its estimates by the PuB and submit the same to the undersigned for sanction

10.STOCK REGISTER OF REST HOUSE

Stock register has been maintained and entries of the articles purchased for Kest House has been made in it and annual physical verification certificate has also been recorded on the register. From the perusal of the register it has been found that some furniture acrticles as mentioned in the Annexure_____ have been taken by Shri R.K, Verma former Dy.Director, Zila Sainik Welfare office Solan for his use but has not yet been returned by him as he has already been transferred to Una and has joined there. Necessary steps be taken for the recovery of these articles immediately.

48-

11. STAFF FOR RUNNING SAINIK REST HOUSES.

As per Govt.instructions and rule 4(B) the staff to run and for its maintenance, is to be appointed by the President(D.C.). According to norms approved by the Govt. one chowkidar-cum-mali and one sweeper has been provided for catetory-II Rest house at Solan. At present Sh.Mehar Chand is working as Chewkidar-cum-mali and Sh.Naresh Kumar as Sweeper. Sh.Mehar Chand has been appointed as on 1-3-1994 & Sh. Naresh still Kumar as Sweeper on 24-12-95 and are/working as such. As per Govt.instructions these appointments are to be made out of ex-servicemen quota and through Employment Exchange but this has not been done by the then Dy.Director Sh. R.R. Verma. By making these appointments at his own level/nor he has obtained the appreval of the President Zila Saintk Beard, he has overlaped the powers of the President of the board, This act of the then Deputy Director Sh.R.K. Verma is not only the irregularity in official business but it is also violation of rules and/Govt. instructions which highly objectionable. This act of the officer needs action against him. A new/rest heuse has also been constructed at Parwance where the pessession of the building was taken by Sh.R.R. Verma on 1.2.95 but this rest house is not functioning so far as informed by the office and no record exists regarding this rest house in the effice. In this rest house also a Chowkider has been appoint of by the then Deputy Director Sh. R.R. Verma contrary to the Government instructions.

Contd-

12 RECOVERY OF HOUSE RENT FROM DY.DIRECTOR ZILA SAINIK WELFARE SOLAN .

49-

A pertion of Sainik Rest House on Ist floor was allowed to be used as residence of the then Dy.Director Zila Sainik welfare, Solan by the Director, Sainik Welfare, H.P. vide his letter No.7-29/Solan/92-Sainik, Kalyan-vikash dated 10/1/1992 for which Sh. R.R, Verma will pay hs.500/- as rent per month with the following conditiona;

- 1) To increase the income of the rest house.
- Will keep security of the complex, 11)
- iii) Will keep, up-keep and sanitation of the complex.
- Rent of Rs.500/- will be paid into the rest house fund iv) by 5th of every month. v)
- He will install his own electricity meter separately and till it is not installed will pay 20% of the electricity bill to the rest house fund. vi)
 - No article of rest house will be used by Sh. Verma at his residence.
 - vii) No addition or alteration of suit will be made by him at his own.
 - viii)House allotted is upto 90 days enly.

below:

He will have to intimate the date of occupation of the iX) residence.

Shri R.R. Verma has paid rent as per detail given

No date of eccupation of residence was intimated to the 1. Director by Sh. Verma.

				Tetal:		= 9,	620-00
•	-40-	Ne.0631	dated	12-11-95		<u></u>	2020-00
7.	-de-	Ne.C826				=	3200-00
6.	-de-						2600-00
5.	-do-			31-3-95			
4.	-de-	No.0609	dated	31-3-94		-	400-00
	Vide receipt	No.0603	dated	2-2-92		=	400-00
з.	Vide mendut					=	1000-00
2.	He paid rent No. C268 date	W.e.f.	1.4.92	to 31/5/92	vide	rece	ipt

In view of the @B@00 position explained above Shri Verma neither deposited 20% of the electricity bill nor installed his own meter. He also did not pay rent in time as well as also has not vacated the suit/house though he has already been transferred from Solan. House should be got - contd-23-

vacated from him and after illegal ecoupation, the rent at the market rate be charged for entire period. The previous rent due u.e.f 1-4-92 to 31-5-96 comes to Ma. 21.488/-i.e @ Re.588/p.m. u.e.f 1-4-92 to 31-5-93(Re.7888/-) and u.e.f 1-3-93 to 31-5-96 @ Re.488/-p.m.(Re. 14.488/-) plus 28% charges of electricity bills on Re. 14439/- i.e. Fe. 2887.88. The total amount thus recoverable comes to Re. 24287.88, while an amouny of Re.9628/- stands paid by him. The present By.Birector Zile Sainik Welfare has issued a notice to vacate the accommedateM to the then By.Birector Sh.R.R.Verma vide his Ne.SLN-ZSW8-3-9/98(Vel.III-331-32, dated 28-6.96.

58 -

Sh.R.R.Verma has intimated on 22-8-96, that he has installed his own meter of electricity. It means he has installed the same after his transfer which is illegal. Steps be also taken to get the accommodation vacated and electricity meter be-get-disconnected.withmatxing

13. RECONSTRUCTION AND REHABILITATION FUND.

The effice has maintained the fallouing record under this Head:-

- 1. Cash beek.
- 2. Saving Bank Pass-beek.
- 3. Cheque Bask.
- 4. Stack register.

1. Cash bask.

It has been maintained on the prescribed TR-4 and is duly paged. Necessary certificate has been recorded by the DBS i.e. by the Dy.Birecter. Baily balances which are to be worked out, have not been worked ut which may be dong now. 2. BUBGET PREVISION.

Under this Head, annual budget is being prepared and sent to Director Sainkk Wolfare HP. The year-wise budget allocation and expenditure is as under to

Tear	Allecation.	Expenditure.
1989-98 1998-91	55488/œ 38888/œ	51020/-
1991-92	58888/-	67847/- 68498/-
1993-94 1994-95	689 88/- 67227/-	92849/- 22844/-
1995-96	78200/- Net passed.	65089/0 186993/-

These funds are being utilized on the following Sainik Welfare activities:-

 Stipend to the school-going children of Ex-sercemen.
 Stipend to the childrene of Ex-servicemen who joins ITI training.

```
-24-
```

iii. Vecational training.
iv. To pay audit fee.
v. To meet out misc.expenditury.

3. SAVING ACCOUNT.

Zila Sainik Welfere Officer has evened a Saving Mank Account in State Mank of Patiala and Mank account No.is______ which is being operated by the By. Director Zila Sainik Welfare Selan as on 1-4-89, but it has been new evened in Union Mank of India for the reasons beat known to him. Transfer of accounts from one Mank to another has been done by Sh.R.R.Verma at his own level and even he has not obtained the pognission of the President of Zila Sainik Welfare(C). This practice should be avoided in future and proper permission must be obtained from the Distt Committee.

SI-

4. STACK REGISTER.

Stock register has been maintained by the effice of the Zila Sainik Welfare Officer and the Store prticles which are purchased have been entered in this register. The store articles which are purchased for vecational Exe centres Solan, Nalagarh and #rki. In each vecational training centre. and Teilering Instructor and one helper has been appointed and are being paid out of this fund. The store articles which have been gurdheased by the By. Birector haaxmatxbaamxtakam without obtaining any approval of the President or Zila Sainik Welfare Committee formed at the Diatt. level. The fellewing details will show the surchases made by himso Bill No.& date. Name of articles, fate. Tetal amt. 1. 488 dt.21-5-98 6 Sewing machines 1288/-each. 7200/8 with stands. 2. 6 Seving machines - 48-788/eesch. 4200/~ witheut stand. 3. ---2 Scissers. As/-each. 4. 88/----1 Gunia. BB/-each. 5. 88/-- da -12 measuring tape, 15/-each. ۶. 188/-Tetals 11740/-Sale tas @ Rs. 68% 1174.88 Surcharges Rs. 18% 117.48 Tetalso 13:31,42 The abave purchases were made from M/S Amer Industries, Selan. 2. 409 et.16-3-91. 1 Cutting table. 1 effice table. -48-1188/-- -6 Tailering Patra @ 308/-each1888/-758/---7 steels --Rs.158/-1 effice chair. 1050/~ 178/-Tetals 4878.68 Sale taxe 3% 146.18 P...25 Surgherge. 14,68 G.tetel: 5830.78

In future, this practice to pyrchase the articles without prior approval of the Distt. Walfare Committee should be avaided and this expenditure may by get regularised.

02-

14. CALLANTERY AWARDS FUND.

-at

This Head of account was transforred from the office of Beputy Commissioner, Solan, on 6-3-91. At that time a sum of Rs. Main 9418/- was in balance in this Head which is duly entered at page 1 of the cash book. In 1991, 8 Gallantery awards were received by this office at different rate and two more awards were also received in 1993 and 1995 i.e. onenn 26-1-93 and another on 25-4-95. Sffice has opened their individu files. The names of awardses are as under:-

S.Ne.	Name of awardee.	Annunity gr	ented.
1. 2. 3.	Maj. H.K.Bajaj-8 Pewer Haus Wing.Com.V.K.Saxena r/e Kal Cast. R K Vasaria r/e Kal	Sapresn.	150/~.
4.	Cast. R.K.Vehra.Admn.Sffice r/e Frem Kutmer Subathu. Sagt.Sham Vihari Gupta	Ir (Seva Med	
5.	Sever Nazar Dagshai. Nk. Sita Rem r/Vill. Moure	-	258/- 258/-
6.	PB Demehar, Teh. Krki. Smt.Manju Chandel c/e Ram Partap Singh,r/e Tibi-mian	Vam	250/-
7.	Nelegern. Rajinder Sinch vill Mapores	F (KC)	
8.	Lt.Col.Hardev Sinch VPB	(SM)	350/-
9.	Kasauli. Cel. RK Sharma Vill.Villa-		358/-
15.	alli, Nalagarh.	ф.	258/-
	G.K. BRV Sinch Theles of		

G.K.Bev Singh Thakur,Subathu,Baurya C) 258₫→

The amount of annuity is being paid regularly. We on the date of inspection an amount of Mp.1487 for was in balance which seems earned as an interest. This amount may be deposited i in receipt-Head of account of the office.

15. PENSION SCHEME & FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE.

A scheme for the grant of financial assistance to the Ex-serciomen who are above 68 years of age and are not in receipt of any pension has been introduced vide Gevt.latter Ne.GAB-E(F)4-47/84 dated 14-11-88, under which pension 8 Re.188/-Pe. in each case is being wedd. The Birecter Sainik Welfare HP v vide his letter Ne.18-5/86-SWB dated 14-8-92, has mentioned specifically that the above assistance will be paid by Maney wrders only and the balance for the year 1991-92,if any will be deposited into Gevt.Treasury by challen. Instances have came to notice that the By.Birecter has paid the emount in the the second secon

-25-

-26-

each, which antien is against the orders/instructions of the Director Sainik Welfere HP and the Dy.Director needs to explain the reasons for this lapse. The following payments have been maid in each vide cash back page 133:~

1. Ex-servicemen Sh. Sardar	Singh	3∎∎/⇔
2. Lachman Singh		688/-
3. Bevi Chand		688/-
4. Bameta Singh	10	601/-
5. Sardar Singh		
6. Balwant Singh		688/-
7. Siri Ram		688/-
8. Jaimal Singh		688/-
9. Kanshi Rem		688/-
		600/-
18.Man Singh		68 8/-
11. Lohari Ram		680/-
12. Budh Singh		680/-
13. Bhatu		610/-
14. Baya Singh	Δ.	628/-
15.Amar Singh		688/-
16. Lechman		688/-
17. Sunder Singh		688/-
18.Baga Ram		611/-
19.Sant Singh		688/-
20. Prithi Singh		
21.Chet Singh		608/-
22. Amar Singh		688/-
23.Ram Bess		688/-
24. Amar Singh		688/-
		688/-

141007-

The above Payments have been made against the govt.instructions and payments needs to be confirmed from the refeiptants astuall whether they have actually received or not.

In addition to above an amount of Re.9388/- shown paid to Ex-sep.Amrit r/o Naya-nagar.Subathu in Tohail Kasauli on 14-1-86. The Tohaildar Kasauli was asked to verify whether this amount has been paid on account of assistance @ Rs.188/p.m. to above named person or not who has intimated that no auch financial assistance has been reseived by Sh.Amrit. It is suspected that this amount has peen embezzled. 16. VELATION OF CONDUCT RULES.

Under the CES(Conduct Rules)1964, any Gevt.servant whe berrew or land or acquire any property, he has to give intimation to the Controlling Authority and has also to take the necessary permission. Sh.R.R. Verma, By. Director took lean from the State Bank of India Selan amounting to Rs. 15000/o on 14-2-91. No such permission or NECis on record which issued to Bank by the Controlling Officer. As such it seems that noither Sh.Verma has obtained ny NEC or sent any

F---27

intimation, to any quarter. He did not repay the said lean to the Bank which fact was brought in the notice of the undersigned by the Birector Sainik Welfare HP vide his letter No.3-148/89/SWB dated 27-8-96. As such Sh.Verma has vailated the provision of the conduct rules. <u>GENERAL.</u>

54-

Buring the course of inspection, generally, it has been noticed that the By.Birector Zila Saigik Welfare Selan retained cash in hand and has not deposited the same into the relevant Saving Bank Accounts. For instance, menth-wise details of cash in hand is given below:~

1/98 2/50	Ra.	1297.85
		4073.88
3/56		4273.35
r/58	Ra.	383.95

From the perusal of the Sash book, some irregularities vers also found i.s. at page 23 ofthe Cash book of Eld-age pension, an amount of Rs. 11000/~ was drawn vide cheque Na.S-542448 dated 8-3-96 bhereas cash of Rg.14480/- was already in cash in hand on that date. Similarly, on 11-3-96, at page 23 of the cash beek, an amount of Rs. 1288/- was drawn vide cheque Ne.3-542441 dated 11-3-96. Agaín vide cash beek mage 24 en 3-4-96 a chaque Ne.542442 vas drawn which was for Rs.25888/- whereas on opening balance, there was an amount of Rs. 26608/0 yes in cash in hand. By 4-6-96, an amount of of Ra.11199.68 was drawn vide chaque Np.542443 dated 4-6-96 whereas as per cash besk enteries, there was an amount of Rs. 5688/- in balance. Taking further palance of cash to Rs.62799.88 and after draval of this gmount, financial assistance of Rs. 48880/- disbursed by MS to 60 persons and Rs.2488/- was paid as MS commission lysving further cash in balance in hand amounting to Rs.12395,68.

Vide cash book page No.27. on 5-6-96. Ro.638/ ∞ were drawn vide cheque No.542444 out of which only Ro.688/ ∞ were paid and a balanace of Ro.38/ ∞ shown MB commission and cost cost of MB forms. As such the cash balance w.e.f 29-2-96 to 4-6-96 remained Ro.5669/ ∞ i.e. for three months and four days, the details of which is as under;-

.... F. 28.

-27-

-28-3 montis 4 days. Rs. 14400/-29-2-96 to 4-6-96-2 months 27 days.Rs. 11000/-8-3-36 to 4-6-96 1260/-Rs. 11-3-96 to 4-6-96 23 days. Rs. 25000/~ ## 2magabs . 3-4-96 te 4-6-96 Re. 51688/-

1

55-

From the above a temporary embezzlement is suspected. En 5-12-1989, Sh.R.R. Verma, By. Diragter, Zila Sainik Welfare Selan isand an order No.SLN-ZSWD-2,17/89-1435-38, in which all the concerned dealing hands helding any type of accounts directed that all the APRs, beeks, assus and receipt veuchers be handed over to him. This order plearly shows that all the decumants and relevant Papers gertaining to cash/accounts were being maintained/dealth by him personally and ne involvement of any officials of hig office in committing any financial irregularities lies upon them.

During the inspection, it has also been naticed that the By. Director alon paid Rs. 17868/- on account of telephone bill on 30-12-91 as per details hereundersi. From Sainia Reat House fund

vide cheque No.58/12-187386 dt.38-12-91. Re.6868/ii. From flag-day fund vide chaque Rs. 11858/= No. 389968 . Rs. 17868/-

The emount of Re.11998/~ paid out of flag-day fund was to be refunded after its recoupment from the regular effice budget which has not been recouped to the flag-day fund. Merevoer, there is no formal sunction to meet out the expenditure of Rs.6268/- out of Sainik Rest House fund. This needs to be yot regularised and By, Director may explain the reasons of committing this financial irregularity. In 30-6-96, the balances as per enteries in different cash backs of this office were as hereunder = Rs. 219.58 1. Flag-day Fund. Acctt.Na 9 5896/52. 2. Special fund A/C Na. 5941/52 3. Callenters and A/C Na 5941/52 Rs. 148.19 Rs. 1467.88 Rs. 785.86 8.21

3. Gallantery award A/C No, C-21-154 4. Sainik Rest House A/C No. 5939/52 Rs. 5. Bld age pension A/C Ne.585

6. Govt. Head. The above balances agree with the pass-books and

cash backs of the office.

Skand (SHRIKANT BALBI) Baputy Commissionar, Selan.

2487.96

ţ